



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/787,188

02/27/2004

Yoshiki Takata

1248-0934PUS1

4585

2292 7590 07/25/2008  
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH  
PO BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

CHOI, JACOB Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2885

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/25/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/787,188	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKATA, YOSHIKI	
	<b>Examiner</b> JACOB Y. CHOI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2885	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JACOB Y. CHOI. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul T. Sewell. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamashita et al. (USPN 7,004,610).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative has called to question the interpretation of the prior art reference regarding claims 1 and 16 (e.g., "the completely closed spaces being formed in both the first member and in the second member"). Also, obviousness/motivation statement of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) was further discussed regarding claim 16.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jacob Y Choi/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2885

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required